

ITMO UNIVERSITY

How to Win Coding Competitions: Secrets of Champions

Week 3: Sorting and Search Algorithms Lecture 8: Integer sorting

> Maxim Buzdalov Saint Petersburg 2016





• Integers are typically bounded by 2^W for some word size W



- Integers are typically bounded by 2^W for some word size W
- ► We can use integers as indices for arrays



- Integers are typically bounded by 2^W for some word size W
- We can use integers as indices for arrays
- We can perform mathematical operations with integers



- Integers are typically bounded by 2^W for some word size W
- We can use integers as indices for arrays
- ▶ We can perform mathematical operations with integers

We are no longer limited by the $\Omega(N \log N)$ lower bound...



- Integers are typically bounded by 2^W for some word size W
- We can use integers as indices for arrays
- ▶ We can perform mathematical operations with integers

We are no longer limited by the $\Omega(N \log N)$ lower bound...

 \blacktriangleright ... because we can perform operations which reveal more information



- Integers are typically bounded by 2^W for some word size W
- We can use integers as indices for arrays
- ▶ We can perform mathematical operations with integers

We are no longer limited by the $\Omega(N \log N)$ lower bound...

► ... because we can perform operations which reveal more information In this video:



- Integers are typically bounded by 2^W for some word size W
- We can use integers as indices for arrays
- ▶ We can perform mathematical operations with integers

We are no longer limited by the $\Omega(N \log N)$ lower bound...

• ... because we can perform operations which reveal more information

In this video:

Counting sort



- Integers are typically bounded by 2^W for some word size W
- We can use integers as indices for arrays
- ▶ We can perform mathematical operations with integers

We are no longer limited by the $\Omega(N \log N)$ lower bound...

 \blacktriangleright ... because we can perform operations which reveal more information

In this video:

- Counting sort
- Bucket sort



- Integers are typically bounded by 2^W for some word size W
- We can use integers as indices for arrays
- ▶ We can perform mathematical operations with integers

We are no longer limited by the $\Omega(N \log N)$ lower bound...

 \blacktriangleright ... because we can perform operations which reveal more information

In this video:

- Counting sort
- Bucket sort
- ► Radix sort





• "Quite small" is "we can afford an array of *M* elements"



▶ "Quite small" is "we can afford an array of *M* elements"

Idea: Just count how many times a certain value was seen



▶ "Quite small" is "we can afford an array of *M* elements"



▶ "Quite small" is "we can afford an array of *M* elements"



▶ "Quite small" is "we can afford an array of *M* elements"



▶ "Quite small" is "we can afford an array of *M* elements"



▶ "Quite small" is "we can afford an array of *M* elements"



▶ "Quite small" is "we can afford an array of *M* elements"



▶ "Quite small" is "we can afford an array of *M* elements"



▶ "Quite small" is "we can afford an array of *M* elements"





▶ "Quite small" is "we can afford an array of *M* elements"





▶ "Quite small" is "we can afford an array of *M* elements"





▶ "Quite small" is "we can afford an array of *M* elements"





▶ "Quite small" is "we can afford an array of *M* elements"





▶ "Quite small" is "we can afford an array of *M* elements"





▶ "Quite small" is "we can afford an array of *M* elements"





▶ "Quite small" is "we can afford an array of *M* elements"





▶ "Quite small" is "we can afford an array of *M* elements"





▶ "Quite small" is "we can afford an array of *M* elements"





▶ "Quite small" is "we can afford an array of *M* elements"





▶ "Quite small" is "we can afford an array of *M* elements"





▶ "Quite small" is "we can afford an array of *M* elements"





▶ "Quite small" is "we can afford an array of *M* elements"



▶ "Quite small" is "we can afford an array of *M* elements"


▶ "Quite small" is "we can afford an array of *M* elements"



▶ "Quite small" is "we can afford an array of *M* elements"



▶ "Quite small" is "we can afford an array of *M* elements"



▶ "Quite small" is "we can afford an array of *M* elements"



• "Quite small" is "we can afford an array of *M* elements"

Idea: Just count how many times a certain value was seen This is Counting sort!

Running time: $\Theta(N + M)$, additional space: $\Theta(M)$



• "Quite small" is "we can afford an array of *M* elements"

Idea: Just count how many times a certain value was seen This is Counting sort!

Running time: $\Theta(N + M)$, additional space: $\Theta(M)$

• Faster than comparison-based sorting algorithms when M is small





- ▶ We cannot "just count" integers anymore
- But we still can profit from using the keys as array indices



- ► We cannot "just count" integers anymore
- But we still can profit from using the keys as array indices
- Have an array of lists of size M, and collect the pairs under their keys



- ► We cannot "just count" integers anymore
- But we still can profit from using the keys as array indices
- Have an array of lists of size M, and collect the pairs under their keys



Given: N key-value pairs, keys are integers from [1; M], M is quite small. How to sort them efficiently?

- ▶ We cannot "just count" integers anymore
- But we still can profit from using the keys as array indices
- Have an array of lists of size M, and collect the pairs under their keys





Given: N key-value pairs, keys are integers from [1; M], M is quite small. How to sort them efficiently?

- ► We cannot "just count" integers anymore
- But we still can profit from using the keys as array indices
- Have an array of lists of size M, and collect the pairs under their keys





Given: N key-value pairs, keys are integers from [1; M], M is quite small. How to sort them efficiently?

- ▶ We cannot "just count" integers anymore
- But we still can profit from using the keys as array indices
- Have an array of lists of size M, and collect the pairs under their keys





Given: N key-value pairs, keys are integers from [1; M], M is quite small. How to sort them efficiently?

- ▶ We cannot "just count" integers anymore
- But we still can profit from using the keys as array indices
- Have an array of lists of size M, and collect the pairs under their keys





Given: N key-value pairs, keys are integers from [1; M], M is quite small. How to sort them efficiently?

- ▶ We cannot "just count" integers anymore
- But we still can profit from using the keys as array indices
- Have an array of lists of size M, and collect the pairs under their keys





Given: N key-value pairs, keys are integers from [1; M], M is quite small. How to sort them efficiently?

- ▶ We cannot "just count" integers anymore
- But we still can profit from using the keys as array indices
- Have an array of lists of size M, and collect the pairs under their keys





Given: N key-value pairs, keys are integers from [1; M], M is quite small. How to sort them efficiently?

- ▶ We cannot "just count" integers anymore
- But we still can profit from using the keys as array indices
- Have an array of lists of size M, and collect the pairs under their keys





Given: N key-value pairs, keys are integers from [1; M], M is quite small. How to sort them efficiently?

- ▶ We cannot "just count" integers anymore
- But we still can profit from using the keys as array indices
- Have an array of lists of size M, and collect the pairs under their keys





Given: N key-value pairs, keys are integers from [1; M], M is quite small. How to sort them efficiently?

- ▶ We cannot "just count" integers anymore
- But we still can profit from using the keys as array indices
- Have an array of lists of size M, and collect the pairs under their keys





Given: N key-value pairs, keys are integers from [1; M], M is quite small. How to sort them efficiently?

- ► We cannot "just count" integers anymore
- But we still can profit from using the keys as array indices
- Have an array of lists of size M, and collect the pairs under their keys





Given: N key-value pairs, keys are integers from [1; M], M is quite small. How to sort them efficiently?

- ▶ We cannot "just count" integers anymore
- But we still can profit from using the keys as array indices
- Have an array of lists of size M, and collect the pairs under their keys





Given: N key-value pairs, keys are integers from [1; M], M is quite small. How to sort them efficiently?

- ▶ We cannot "just count" integers anymore
- But we still can profit from using the keys as array indices
- Have an array of lists of size M, and collect the pairs under their keys





Given: N key-value pairs, keys are integers from [1; M], M is quite small. How to sort them efficiently?

- ► We cannot "just count" integers anymore
- But we still can profit from using the keys as array indices
- Have an array of lists of size M, and collect the pairs under their keys





Given: N key-value pairs, keys are integers from [1; M], M is quite small. How to sort them efficiently?

- ▶ We cannot "just count" integers anymore
- But we still can profit from using the keys as array indices
- Have an array of lists of size M, and collect the pairs under their keys





Given: N key-value pairs, keys are integers from [1; M], M is quite small. How to sort them efficiently?

- ► We cannot "just count" integers anymore
- But we still can profit from using the keys as array indices
- Have an array of lists of size M, and collect the pairs under their keys





Given: N key-value pairs, keys are integers from [1; M], M is quite small. How to sort them efficiently?

- ▶ We cannot "just count" integers anymore
- But we still can profit from using the keys as array indices
- Have an array of lists of size M, and collect the pairs under their keys

This is Bucket sort!

1/Z1/X1/K2 E Κ 3 U Ŋ 4 J Α 5 Z W E



Given: N key-value pairs, keys are integers from [1; M], M is quite small. How to sort them efficiently?

- ▶ We cannot "just count" integers anymore
- But we still can profit from using the keys as array indices
- Have an array of lists of size M, and collect the pairs under their keys

This is Bucket sort!

1/K | 1/Z | 1/X | 2/E





Given: N key-value pairs, keys are integers from [1; M], M is quite small. How to sort them efficiently?

- ► We cannot "just count" integers anymore
- But we still can profit from using the keys as array indices
- Have an array of lists of size M, and collect the pairs under their keys

This is Bucket sort!

1/X2/E2/K1/K1/Z2 3 U Ŋ 4 J Α 5 Z W E



Given: N key-value pairs, keys are integers from [1; M], M is quite small. How to sort them efficiently?

- ▶ We cannot "just count" integers anymore
- But we still can profit from using the keys as array indices
- Have an array of lists of size M, and collect the pairs under their keys





Given: N key-value pairs, keys are integers from [1; M], M is quite small. How to sort them efficiently?

- ▶ We cannot "just count" integers anymore
- But we still can profit from using the keys as array indices
- Have an array of lists of size M, and collect the pairs under their keys





Given: N key-value pairs, keys are integers from [1; M], M is quite small. How to sort them efficiently?

- ▶ We cannot "just count" integers anymore
- But we still can profit from using the keys as array indices
- Have an array of lists of size M, and collect the pairs under their keys





Given: N key-value pairs, keys are integers from [1; M], M is quite small. How to sort them efficiently?

- ▶ We cannot "just count" integers anymore
- But we still can profit from using the keys as array indices
- Have an array of lists of size M, and collect the pairs under their keys





Given: N key-value pairs, keys are integers from [1; M], M is quite small. How to sort them efficiently?

- ▶ We cannot "just count" integers anymore
- But we still can profit from using the keys as array indices
- Have an array of lists of size M, and collect the pairs under their keys





Given: N key-value pairs, keys are integers from [1; M], M is quite small. How to sort them efficiently?

- ▶ We cannot "just count" integers anymore
- But we still can profit from using the keys as array indices
- Have an array of lists of size M, and collect the pairs under their keys





Given: N key-value pairs, keys are integers from [1; M], M is quite small. How to sort them efficiently?

- ▶ We cannot "just count" integers anymore
- But we still can profit from using the keys as array indices
- Have an array of lists of size M, and collect the pairs under their keys





- ▶ We cannot "just count" integers anymore
- But we still can profit from using the keys as array indices
- Have an array of lists of size M, and collect the pairs under their keys

This is Bucket sort! $\Theta(N + M)$ time, $\Theta(N + M)$ space.

1/K 1/Z 1/X 2/E 2/K 3/U 3/Q 4/J 4/A 5/Z 5/W 5/E




Given: N key-value pairs, keys are integers from [1; M], M is quite small. How to sort them efficiently?

- ► We cannot "just count" integers anymore
- But we still can profit from using the keys as array indices
- Have an array of lists of size M, and collect the pairs under their keys

This is Bucket sort! $\Theta(N + M)$ time, $\Theta(N + M)$ space. It is also stable.

1/K 1/Z 1/X 2/E 2/K 3/U 3/Q 4/J 4/A 5/Z 5/W 5/E





Radix sort

Given: N integer arrays, each of length L. Each integer is from [1; M], M is quite small. How to sort these arrays lexicographically?



• Strings are integer arrays. M = 26 for Latin alphabet, 256 for ASCII, ...



- Strings are integer arrays. M = 26 for Latin alphabet, 256 for ASCII, ...
- Big integers are integer arrays. M = 10 or maybe 16, or 65536, or ...



- Strings are integer arrays. M = 26 for Latin alphabet, 256 for ASCII, ...
- Big integers are integer arrays. M = 10 or maybe 16, or 65536, or ...

Solution:



- Strings are integer arrays. M = 26 for Latin alphabet, 256 for ASCII, ...
- Big integers are integer arrays. M = 10 or maybe 16, or 65536, or ...

Solution:

• Use bucket sort by the *L*-th value $\rightarrow \Theta(N+M)$



- Strings are integer arrays. M = 26 for Latin alphabet, 256 for ASCII, ...
- Big integers are integer arrays. M = 10 or maybe 16, or 65536, or ...

Solution:

- Use bucket sort by the *L*-th value $\rightarrow \Theta(N+M)$
- Use bucket sort by the L 1-th value $\rightarrow \Theta(N + M)$



- Strings are integer arrays. M = 26 for Latin alphabet, 256 for ASCII, ...
- Big integers are integer arrays. M = 10 or maybe 16, or 65536, or ...

Solution:

- Use bucket sort by the *L*-th value $\rightarrow \Theta(N+M)$
- Use bucket sort by the L 1-th value $\rightarrow \Theta(N + M)$
- ▶ ...
- Use bucket sort by the 1-st value $\rightarrow \Theta(N+M)$



- Strings are integer arrays. M = 26 for Latin alphabet, 256 for ASCII, ...
- Big integers are integer arrays. M = 10 or maybe 16, or 65536, or ...

Solution:

- Use bucket sort by the *L*-th value $\rightarrow \Theta(N+M)$
- Use bucket sort by the L 1-th value $\rightarrow \Theta(N + M)$
- ▶ ...
- Use bucket sort by the 1-st value $\rightarrow \Theta(N+M)$

This is Radix sort! $\Theta((N+M)L)$ time, $\Theta(N+M)$ space.