Leader- Based Sequence Paxos



Assumptions

- Assume eventual leader election abstraction with a ballot number BLE (Leader, L, n)
 - BLE satisfies completeness and eventually accuracy
 - And also monotonically unique ballots
- The Leader-based Sequence Paxos is optimized for the case when a single proposer runs for a longer period of time as a leader
 - Thus, will not be aborted for a while
 - But must guarantee safety if aborted



The state of proposers

- We still have a set of proposers
- Any proposer will be either a leader or a follower
- A leader may be in either:
 - Prepare state, or
 - Accept state
- Until overrun by a higher leader, and moves to a follower state







Prepare once and Pipeline Accept



Solution outline

- Current Sequence-Paxos is inefficient:
 - With multiple concurrent proposers, conflicts and restarts are likely (higher load → more conflicts)
 - 2 rounds of messages for each value chosen (Prepare, Accept)

Solution:

- Pick a Leader(L, n) where n is a unique higher round number (leader election algorithm)
- The Leader acts as sole Proposer for round n
- After first Prepare (if not aborted) only perform Accepts until aborted by another Leader(n'), where n' > n



Prepare Once, Pipeline Accept

• Benefit:

- Proposer does prepare(n) before first-accept(n,v)
- After that only one round-trip to decide on an extension of sequence v, as long as round is not aborted
- (new leader with higher number)
- Allows multiple outstanding accept requests (pipelining)
 - Lower propose-to-decide latency for multiple proposals



proposer p

replica q

Chosen Sequence at round n

 Sequence v is chosen in round n if acceptors in a majority set have accepted (in round n) sequences having v as a prefix

Round	Accepted by p ₁	Accepted by p ₂	Accepted by p ₃
n = 5	$\langle \mathbf{C}_2, \mathbf{C}_3, \mathbf{C}_1 \rangle$ $\langle \mathbf{C}_2, \mathbf{C}_3 \rangle$	$\langle C_2, C_3 \rangle$	
n=2		$\langle C_2 \rangle$	$\langle C_2 \rangle$
n=1	$\langle C_1 \rangle$		
n=0	$\langle \rangle$	$\langle \rangle$	\diamond

• $\langle C_2, C_3, C_1 \rangle$ and all its prefixes are chosen in round 5

S. Haridi, KTHx ID2203.2x



Prepare Once, Pipeline Accepts

- After first Prepare
 - Allow issuing and accepting multiple proposals in round n
- We have now multiple (values) v's issued in the same round n?
- Acceptor accepts longer sequences in the same round n as long as $n \ge n_{prom}$ (acceptor's promise)

Prepare at round n, Proposer (Leader) behavior

- Proposer p becomes a leader with round n (By a leader election algorithm)
 - At this state n is the highest known proposal number
 - But **p** might be aborted by a leader with higher number m > n
 - n is unique, since only one leader is elected with a given round number n, n is higher than the rounds of previous leaders
- After successful completion of prepare phase the leader has the sequence v₀, and following invariant holds
 - The longest chosen sequence at any lower round m < n is a prefix of v₀ (quorum property guarantee)

Chosen Sequence at round n

 Sequence v is chosen in round n if acceptors in a majority set have accepted (in round n) sequences having v as a prefix

Round	Accepted by p ₁	Accepted by p ₂	Accepted by p ₃
n = 5	$\langle \mathbf{C}_2, \mathbf{C}_3, \mathbf{C}_1 \rangle$ $\langle \mathbf{C}_2, \mathbf{C}_3 \rangle$	$\langle C_2, C_3 \rangle$	
n=2		$\langle C_{2,}C_{3} \rangle$	$\langle C_2 \rangle$
n=1	$\langle C_1 \rangle$		
n=0	$\langle \rangle$	$\langle \rangle$	\diamond

• $\langle C_2, C_3, C_1 \rangle$ and all its prefixes are chosen in round 5

S. Haridi, KTHx ID2203.2x

Accepts in round n, Proposer behavior

- A proposer (leader) issues multiple proposals in round n extending v₀
 - (n, v₀), (n,v₁), (n,v₂), ...
 - Proposer guarantees that $v_0 < v_1 < v_2 < \dots$
 - Doesn't have to wait for one proposal to be chosen before the next is issued
 - Continues until aborted



Accepts in round n, Acceptor behavior

- We order proposals in the following way:
 - (n, v) < (n', v') iff n < n' or (n = n' and |v| < |v'|)
- An acceptor extends its accepted sequence when it receives a new proposal
 - As long as it is a higher proposal according to the ordering above
- Accepted messages include the length of accepted values
 - Since multiple outstanding accept/accepted requests can be delivered out of order



Accepts in round n, Acceptor behavior

- Let $v_{a,q} = v_a$ at acceptor q, and $v_{p,L} = v_p$ at a leader L
- After q has accepted a proposal sent by L, it must be the case that v_{a,q} ≤ v_{p,L}
 - It is enough for q to send back |v_{a,q}|
 - The proposer L can recreate $v_{a,q}$ from its $v_{p,L}$ as prefix(v_{p,L}, |v_{a,q}|)
- **on** $\langle Accept, n, v \rangle$ from p:
 - **if** $n_{prom} \le n$:

 - (n_a, v_a) := max((n_a, v_a), (n, v))
 - send (Accepted, n, $|v_a|$) to p S. Haridi, KTHx ID2203.2x



Deciding on Sequences



Proposer behavior upon Accepted

 Proposer maintains in *las*[p] the length of longest sequence accepted by acceptor p

- Sequence v is chosen
 - If for a majority of acceptors p: $|as[p] \ge |v|$
 - If v is longer than previous sequence and chosen:
 - v is Decided and learners notified

S. Haridi, KTHx ID2203.2x

17

Proposer behavior upon Accepted

- rename v_p to v_L the current extended proposed sequence
- At round $n_{\rm L}$ any value accepted by an acceptor a is a prefix of $v_{\rm L}$
- A leader L, maintains *l*_c :
 - *I*_c is the length of the longest sequence that L knows is chosen (initially 0)
- On $\langle Accepted, n, m \rangle$ from a, n = n_L:
 - las[a] := max(m, las[a])
 - **if** prefix(v_L, m) is chosen **and** l_c < m:
 - *l*_c := m
 - send (Decide, $prefix(v_L, m)$) to learners





Our leader-based Sequence Paxos



Initial State for Sequence Paxos

- Proposers
 - $n_L = 0$, $v_L =$ Leader's current round number, proposed value
 - propCmds = <> Leader's current set of proposed commands (empty set)
 - las = [0]^N
 Length of longest accepted sequence per acceptor
 - $l_c = 0$ Length of longest chosen sequence
 - state = {(leader, prepare), (leader, accept), follower}
- Acceptor
 - $n_{\text{prom}} = 0$ Promise not to accept in lower rounds
 - Round number in which a value is accepted
 - Accepted value (empty sequence)

- Learner
 - $v_{d} = \langle \rangle$

• $n_a = 0$

• $V_a = \langle \rangle$

Decided value (empty sequence)



Leader Initiation & Prepare Phase

- **On** (**Leader**, L, n):
 - **if** self = L **and** $n > n_L$:
 - S := Ø; state := (leader, prepare)
 - propCmds = $\langle \rangle$; (v_L, n_L) := ($\langle \rangle$, n)
 - las := [0]^N, *l*_c := 0
 - send (Prepare, n_L) to all acceptors
 - else: (state, leader) := (follower, L)
 - **On** (**Propose**, C) **and.** state = (leader, prepare)
 - propCmds := propCmds ⊕ ⟨C⟩
 - **On** (**Promise**, n, n_a, v_a) **s.t.** n = $n_{\rm L}$ and state = (leader, prepare)
 - add (n_a, v_a) to S
 - If |S|= [(N+1)/2]:
 - (k, v) := max(S) // adopt v
 - $v_L = v \oplus propCmds; propCmds = \emptyset$
 - send (Accept, n_L, v_L) to all acceptors
 - state := (leader, accept)







Leader Accept Phase

- On (Propose, C) and state = (leader, accept)
 - $v_L := v_L \oplus \langle C \rangle$
 - send (Accept, n_L , v_L) to all acceptors
- On (Accepted, n, m) from a, and n = n_L and state = (leader, accept)
 - las[a] := max(m, las[a)
 - If *l*_c < m and prefix(v_L,m) is chosen:

 - send (Decide, prefix(v_L, m)) to all learners

leader(L, n)





Acceptor and Learner behavior

- **On** \langle **Prepare**, n_p \rangle **from** (a leader) p:
 - **if** $n_{\text{prom}} < n_{\text{p}}$:
 - *n*_{prom} := n_p
 - send (Promise, n_p , n_a , v_a) to p
- On (Accept, n_p , v) from (a leader) p:
 - If $n_{prom} \le n_p$:
 - n_{prom} := n_p
 - $(n_a, v_a) := max((n_a, v_a), (n_p, v))$
 - send \langle Accepted, n, $|v_a| \rangle$ to p
- On (Decide, v):
 - If |v_d| < |v|:
 - v_d := v
 - trigger Decide(v_d)

S. Haridi, KTHx ID2203.2x







Correctness Leader Based Algorithm



- We must guarantee that:
 - If proposal (n, v) is chosen, then for every higher proposal (n', v') that is chosen, v ≤ v'
- We have two cases:
 - n = n': only successively longer sequences can become chosen within the same round since acceptors accept growing sequences
 - n < n': the prepare phase guarantees that all chosen sequences in round n will be adopted in round n', and no new sequences can be chosen in round n after that



Performance

- At this point, the algorithm
 - Pipelines of proposals for each proposer (leader) until losing leader role
 - Only first proposal requires two round-trips once a proposer becomes a leader
- What remains
 - v_L , v_a and v_d are mostly redundant
 - Entire sequences are sent back and forth
- We fix these in the next unit

Removing redundancy of v_L, v_a and v_d



Assumptions so far

- A1: Optimized for the case when a single proposer runs for a longer period of time (leader)
- We add a new assumption
 - *A2:* Each process acts in all roles as proposer, acceptor and learner (replicated state machines)
 - Proposers have access to is own v_a and v_d
 - Acceptors know what is decided v_d





- The leader **p** has access to its own v_a
- When p becomes a leader, it is possible to remove the need to store the sequences $v_{\rm L}$ and $v_{\rm a}$ separately at the leader
- By updating the local replica (acceptor) directly instead of sending a prepare message to itself it is possible to merge v_L into v_a
- At this state when p gets $\langle Leader, L, n \rangle$ and L = p:
 - n > n _(prom at p)
 - Hence (**Promise**, *n*, $n_{(a \text{ at } p)}$, $v_{(a \text{ at } p)}$ is unnecessary
- From now on the leader is extending his v_a



Removing redundancy of v_a and v_d



Assumptions so far

• **A1**: Optimized for the case when a single proposer runs for a longer period of time (leader)

• A2: Each process acts in all roles as proposer, acceptor and learner (replicated state machines)

- We add a new assumption
 - A3: FIFO Perfect Links



The FIFO link assumption

- We assume FIFO Perfect Links (FPL)
 - This will be important for accepting commands incrementally
 - No performance penalties
 - Out of order commands has be buffered before decision
 - Not a too strong assumption in practice
 - In Fail-Silent model you get FPL from PL (Perfect Link) by adding sequence numbers
 - ZooKeeper makes this assumption too
 - If we implement Perfect Links on top of TCP then FIFO is more or less already provided during a session



Removing v_d

- Each replica stores both v_a and $v_d,$ even though they are highly redundant
- Because of FIFO links:
 - At the same round n accept messages are delivered before corresponding decide messages from to any replica :
 - it always holds that at any replica q:
 v_(d at q) is a prefix of v_(a at q)
- Sequence v_d can be replaced with an integer l_d, such that v_d = prefix(v_a, l_d)

Acceptor &

Learner

prepare

promise

accept

accepted

decide



•



Avoid sending sequences


•

•



Idea of Trim Promise

- Leader L sends a Prepare message to replica p that responds with a Promise msg
- Promise message **currently** contains entire sequence v_a at p
- But L knows that the sequence that will eventually by adopted by all replicas is an extension of v_d at L
- Changes:
 - Prepare message at L includes $(l_d = |v_d|, n_a)_{at L}$
 - Promise message includes either
 - $(n_a, suffix(v_a, l_d))_p$ if $n_{a at p} \ge n_{a at L}$
 - $(n_a, \diamond)_p$ if $n_{a at p} < n_{a at L}$
- Proposer reconstructs the adopted sequence using max()
 S. Haridi, KTHx ID2203.2x



Leader at round 3 p1 leader

- If p₁ becomes a leader at 3
 - Its decided sequence is $\langle C_1 \rangle$
 - (n = 1, suffix = $\langle A, B, D \rangle$)_{p1}
 - p_1 consults a majority, itself and either p_2 or p_3 by sending $|\langle C_1 \rangle|$
 - p_2 sends (n = 2, suffix = $\langle C_2, C_3 \rangle$)_{p2}
 - p_3 sends (n = 2, suffix = $\langle C_2 \rangle$)_{p3}
 - If p₂ consulted: v_{a,p1} = (C₁) + (C₂, C₃) and extended locally by (E, F, G)
 - $v_{a,p1} = \langle C_1, C_2, C_3, E, F, G \rangle$

Round	Accepted by p ₁	Accepted by p ₂	Accepted by p ₃
n = 3	(C_1, C_2, C_2, E, E, G)		
	(01,02,03, 2, 1, 0)		
n = 2		$\langle C_1, C_2, C_3 \rangle$	$\langle C_1, C_2 \rangle$
n = 1	⟨C ₁ ,A, B, D⟩	$\langle C_1 \rangle$	
n = 0	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond

Leader at round 3 p1 leader

- If **p**₁ becomes a leader at 3
 - Its decided sequence is $\langle C_1 \rangle$
 - (n = 1, suffix = $\langle A, B, D \rangle$)_{p1}
 - p_1 consults a majority, itself and either p_2 or p_3 by sending $|\langle C_1 \rangle|$
 - p_2 sends (n = 2, suffix = $\langle C_2, C_3 \rangle$)_{p2}
 - p_3 sends (n = 2, suffix = $\langle C_2 \rangle$)_{p3}
 - If p_3 consulted: $\langle C_2 \rangle$ is added to $\langle C_1 \rangle$ extended locally by $\langle E, F, G \rangle$
 - $v_{a,p1} = \langle C_1, C_2, E, F, G \rangle$

Round	Accepted by p ₁	Accepted by p ₂	Accepted by p_3
n = 3	⟨ C ₁ , C ₂ ,, E, F, G⟩		
n = 2		$\langle C_1, C_2, C_3 \rangle$	$\langle C_1, C_2 \rangle$
n = 1	⟨C ₁ ,A, B, D⟩	⟨C₁⟩	
n = 0	$\langle \rangle$	\diamond	$\langle \rangle$

Leader at round 3 p3 leader

- If **p**₃ becomes a leader at 3
 - Its decided sequence is $\langle C_1, C_2 \rangle$
 - $(n_a = 2, \text{ suffix } = \langle \rangle)_{p3}$
 - p_3 consults a majority, itself and either p_1 or p_2 by sending ($|v_d| = |\langle C_1, C_2 \rangle|$, $n_a=2$)
 - $p_1 \text{ sends } (n_a = 1, \text{ suffix } = \langle \rangle)_{p1}$
 - p_2 sends $(n_a = 2, suffix = \langle C_3 \rangle)_{p2}$
 - If p_1 consulted: $v_{a,p3} = \langle C_1, C_2 \rangle + \langle \rangle$ and extended locally by $\langle E, F, G \rangle$
 - $v_{a,p3} = \langle C_1, C_2, E, F, G \rangle$

Round	Accepted by p ₁	Accepted by P ₂	Accepted by p ₃
n = 3		(⟨ C ₁ , C ₂ , E, F, G⟩
n = 2		$\langle \mathbf{C}_1, \mathbf{C}_2, \mathbf{C}_3 \rangle$	$\langle C_1, C_2 \rangle$
n = 1	⟨C ₁ ,A, B, D⟩	⟨ C ₁ ⟩	
n = 0	\diamond	$\langle \rangle$	$\langle \rangle$

Leader at round 3 p3 is a leader

- If **p**₃ becomes a leader at 3
 - Its decided sequence is $\langle C_1, C_2 \rangle$
 - $(n_a = 2, \text{ suffix } = \langle \rangle)_{p3}$
 - p_3 consults a majority, itself and either p_1 or p_2 by sending ($|v_d| = |\langle C_1, C_2 \rangle|, n_a=2$)
 - p_1 sends $(n_a = 1, \text{ suffix } = \langle \rangle)_{p1}$
 - p_2 sends $(n_a = 2, \text{ suffix } = \langle C_3 \rangle)_{p2}$
 - If p_2 consulted: $\langle C_3 \rangle$ is added to $\langle C_1 \ C_2 \rangle$ and extended locally by $\langle E, F, G \rangle$
 - $v_{a,p3} = \langle C_1, C_2, C_3, E, F, G \rangle$

Round	Accepted by p ₁	Accepted by p ₂	Accepted by p ₃
n = 3			⟨ C ₁ ,C ₂ , C ₃ E, F, G⟩
n = 2		$\langle C_1, C_2, C_3 \rangle$	$\langle C_1, C_2 \rangle$
n = 1	$\langle C_1, A, B, D \rangle$	⟨C₁⟩	
n = 0	\diamond	\diamond	\diamond

Leader at round 3 p2 is a leader

- If **p**₂ becomes a leader at 3
 - Its decided sequence is $\langle C_1, C_2 \rangle$
 - $(n_a = 2, suffix = \langle C_3 \rangle)_{p3}$
 - p_2 consults a majority, itself and either p_1 or p_3 by $(|v_d| = |\langle C_1, C_2 \rangle|, n_a=2)_{p2}$
 - $p_1 \text{ sends } (n_a = 1, \text{ suffix } = \langle \rangle)_{p1}$
 - p_3 sends sends ($n_a = 2$, suffix = $\langle \rangle$)_{p2}
 - If p_1 consulted: $v_{a,p2} = \langle C_1, C_2 \rangle + \langle C_3 \rangle$ and extended locally by $\langle E, F, G \rangle$
 - $v_{a,p2} = \langle C_1, C_2, C_3, E, F, G \rangle$
 - If p₂ consulted: v_{a,p2} = (C₁, C₂) + (C₃) and extended locally by (E, F, G)
 - $v_{a,p2} = \langle C_1, C_2, C_3, E, F, G \rangle$

Round	Accepted by p ₁	Accepted by p ₂	Accepted by p ₃
n = 3	<	C ₁ , C ₂ , C ₃ E, F, G⟩	
n = 2		$\langle C_1, C_2, C_3 \rangle$	$\langle C_1, C_2 \rangle$
n = 1	⟨C ₁ ,A, B, D⟩	⟨C₁⟩	
n = 0	$\langle \rangle$	\diamond	$\langle \rangle$



Implementation

- **On** \langle **Leader**, L, n \rangle :
 - if self = L and $n > n_L$:
 - leader := self, state := prepare
 - S := {(n_a,suffix(v_a, l_d)) },
 - propCmds = $\langle \rangle$, (n_L, n_{prom}) := (n, n)
 - las := [0]^N, *l*_c := 0, leader := self
 - send (Prepare, n_L, l_d, n_a) to all acceptor { self }
 - **else:** (state, leader) := (follower, L) abort()
- **On** (**Prepare**, n_p , *ld*, n) from (a leader) p:
 - **if** $n_{\text{prom}} < n_{\text{p}}$:
 - *n*_{prom} := n_p
 - suffx := if $n_a \ge n$: suffix($v_{a,}$, *ld*) else $\langle \rangle$
 - send (Promise, n_p , n_a , suffx) to p



Implementation

- **On** (**Promise**, n, n_a, suffx_a) s.t. n = n_L and state = prepare
 - add (n_a, suffx_a) to S
 - **if** |S|= [(N+1)/2]:
 - (k, suffx) := max(S) // adopt v
 - v_a = prefix(v_a, l_d) + suffx + propCmds;
 - propCmds = ⟨⟩
 - send (Accept, n_L , v_a) to all acceptors
 - state := accept
- **On** (**Prepare**, n_p , *ld*, n) from (a leader) p:
 - **if** $n_{\text{prom}} < n_{\text{p}}$:
 - $n_{\text{prom}} := n_{\text{p}}$
 - suffx := if $n_a \ge n$: suffix($v_{a,}$, *ld*) else $\langle \rangle$
 - send (Promise, n_p , n_a , suffx) to p

- S = {(n1, v1),, (n_k,vk)}
- fun max(S):
 - (n,v) =: (0,<>)
 - for (n',v') in S:
 - **if** n < n' **or** (n = n' **and** |v| < |v'|):
 - (n,v) := (n',v')
 - return (n,v)





If $l_d < |v|$ and $n_{prom} = n$:

trigger Decide(prefix(va

 $l_{d} = |\mathbf{v}|$

If l_c < m and prefix(v_a,m) is supported:

*l*_c := m,

send (**Decide**, prefix(v_a , m), n_L) to all learners

The Accept phase

The first Accept AcceptSync



First Accept

- After getting Promise messages from a majority, The leader L updates the state of its accepted sequence v_a
- Leader needs to update the accepted sequence v_a's of the replicas
- We have two cases
 - Replica q_i from which L received a promise message in state prepare
 - Replicas q_i from which L received a promise message in state accept
- In both cases the leader needs to know the length of decided sequence at each replica





AcceptSync

- In both cases the first accept is special
- It synchronizes the state of the replicas to reflect the state of the leader
- We call the first Accept AcceptSync
- We extend the state of a follower to distinguish the first accept from subsequent accepts
 - (follower, \perp) initially
 - (follower, prepare) after **Prepare** message
 - (follower, accept) after AcceptSync message



AcceptSync, leader in prepare state

- Leader L has acquired the knowledge of the length of decided sequence from a majority of replicas through promise messages
 - Each replica q sends the length of its decided sequence
 *l*_{d at q} in the promise
 - Leader L reconstructs his own v_a
 - For each replica q in the majority: L sends an AcceptSync message suffix(v_{a at L}, l_{d at q}) and l_{d at q}



Implementation

- **On** (**Promise**, n, n_a, suffx_a, ld_a) from a s.t. n = n_L and state = ...prepare...
 - add $(n_a, suffx_a)$ to S, $lds[a] := ld_a$
 - **if** |S|= [(N+1)/2]:
 - (k, suffx) := max(S) // adopt v
 - $v_a = prefix(v_a, l_d) + suffx + propCmds;$
 - las[self] := |v_a| /** selecting chosen sequence */
 - propCmds = Ø, state := (leader, accept)
 - **for** p **in** *π* {self} **s.t.** lds[p] ≠ ⊥ :
 - send \langle AcceptSync, n_L, suffix(v_a, lds[p]), lds[p] \rangle to p
- **On** (**Prepare**, n_L , *ld*, n) from (a leader) L:
 - **if** $n_{\text{prom}} < n_{\text{L}}$:
 - *n*_{prom} := n_L
 - state := (follower, prepare)
 - suffx := if $n_a \ge n$: suffix($v_{a,i}, ld$) else $\langle \rangle$
 - send (Promise, n_L , n_a , suffx, l_d) to p



Implementation

- On (Promise, n, n_a, suffx_a, ld_a) from a s.t. n = n_L and state = (leader, prepare)
 - add (n_a, suffx_a) to S, Ids[a] := ld_a
 - **if** |S|= [(N+1)/2]:
 - (k, suffx) := max(S) // adopt v
 - v_a = prefix(v_a, l_d) + suffx + propCmds;
 - las[self] := |v_a| /** selecting chosen sequence */
 - propCmds = Ø, state := (leader, accept)
 - **for** p **in** *π* {self} **s.t.** lds[p] ≠ ⊥ :
 - send \langle AcceptSync, n_L, suffix(v_a, lds[p]), lds[p] \rangle to p
- On (AcceptSync, n_L, suffxv, *ld*) from L and state = (follower, prepare):
 - If $n_{prom} = n_L$:
 - n_a := n_L
 - $v_a := prefix(v_a, ld) + suffxv$
 - send (Accepted, $n_{L}^{},\left|v_{a}\right|$) to p
 - state = (follower, accept)



Leader at round 3

- If p₁ becomes a leader at 3
 - Its decided sequence is $\langle {\rm C_1} \rangle$
 - (n = 1, suffix = $\langle A, B, D \rangle$)_{p1}
 - p_1 consults itself and p_2 by sending $|\langle C_1 \rangle|$
 - p_2 sends (n = 2, suffix = $\langle C_2, C_3 \rangle$)_{p2}, $l_{d,p2}$ = 2
 - P_1 constructs $v_{a,p1} = \langle C_1 \rangle + \langle C_2, C_3 \rangle$ extended locally by $\langle E, F, G \rangle$
 - $v_{a,p1} = \langle C_1, C_2, C_3, E, F, G \rangle$
 - p₁ sends
 - suffix($v_{a,p1}, l_{d,p2}$) = $\langle C_3, E, F, G \rangle$
 - $l_{d,p2} = 2$
 - p₂ reconstructs its v_a at round 3
 - $v_{a,p2} = \langle C_1, C_2, C_3, E, F, G \rangle$

Round	Accepted by p ₁	Accepted by p ₂	Accepted by p ₃
n = 3	⟨ <mark>C₁,C</mark> ₂ , C ₃ , E, F, G⟩	⟨ C ₁ , C ₂ , C ₃ , E, F, G⟩	
n = 2		$\langle C_1, C_2, C_3 \rangle$	$\langle C_1, C_2 \rangle$
n = 1	⟨C ₁ ,A, B, D⟩	$\langle C_1 \rangle$	
n = 0	\diamond	\diamond	$\langle \rangle$



Leader at round 3

- If **p**₁ becomes a leader at 3
 - Its decided sequence is $\langle C_1 \rangle$
 - (n = 1, suffix = $\langle A, B, D \rangle$)_{p1}
 - p₁ consults a majority
 - If p_3 consulted: $v_{a,p1} = \langle C_1 \rangle + \langle C_2 \rangle$ and extended locally by $\langle E, F, G \rangle$
 - $v_{a,p1} = \langle C_1, C_2, E, F, G \rangle$
 - p₁ sends
 - suffix($v_{a,p1}, l_{d,p3}$) = $\langle E, F, G \rangle$ +
 - $l_{d,p2} = 2$
 - p₃ reconstructs its v_a at round 3
 - $v_{a,p2} = \langle C_1, C_2, E, F, G \rangle$

Round	Accepted by p ₁	Accepted by p ₂	Accepted by p ₃
n = 3	⟨ C ₁ ,C ₂ , E, F, G⟩	<	C ₁ ,C ₂ , E, F, G⟩
n = 2		$\langle C_1, C_2, C_3 \rangle$	$\langle C_1, C_2 \rangle$
n = 1	$\langle C_1, A, B, D \rangle$	⟨C₁⟩	
n = 0	$\langle \rangle$	\diamond	\diamond

Leader at round 3 p2 is leader

- If p₂ becomes a leader at 3
 - Its decided sequence is $\langle C_1, C_2 \rangle$
 - $(n_a = 2, suffix = \langle C_3 \rangle)_{p3}$
 - p_2 consults a majority, itself and either p_1 or p_3 by $(|v_d| = |\langle C_1, C_2 \rangle|, n_a=2)_{p2}$
 - $p_1 \text{ sends } (n_a = 1, \text{ suffix } = \langle \rangle)_{p1} , l_{d,p1} = 1$
 - p₂ sends to p₁
 - suffix($v_{a,p1}, l_{d,p1}$) = $\langle C_2, C_3 E, F, G \rangle$
 - *l*_{d,p1} = 1
 - p₁ reconstructs its v_a at round 3
 - $v_{a,p1} = \langle C_1, C_2, C_3, E, F, G \rangle$

Round	Accepted by p ₁	Accepted by p ₂	Accepted by p ₃
n = 3	$\langle C_1, C_2, C_3 E, F, G \rangle \langle C_1, C_2, C_3 E, F, G \rangle$	C ₁ , C ₂ , C ₃ E, F, G⟩	
n = 2		$\langle C_1, C_2, C_3 \rangle$	$\langle C_1, C_2 \rangle$
n = 1	⟨C ₁ ,A, B, D⟩	⟨C₁⟩	
n = 0	$\langle \rangle$	$\langle \rangle$	$\langle \rangle$

Leader at the Accept Phase II



AcceptSync, leader in accept state

- Leader L receives a promise from replica q while in the accept state
 - Each replica q sends the length of its decided sequence l_{d at q} in the Promise
 - Leader has already reconstructed his sequence v_a
 - For each other replica q after receiving a promise, L sends an AcceptSync message:
 - suffix($v_{a \text{ at L}}, l_{d \text{ at q}}$) and $l_{d \text{ at q}}$
 - If some sequence is already decided it sends the decide index l_{d at L}

AcceptSync, leader in accept state

- Other replicas
 - Leader L waits until it receives Promise msg from q before sending AcceptSync message to q
 - Receiving a promise synchronizes L's ۲ knowledge about q
 - Maintain invariant at q: $v_d \le v_a$
 - L may not send Decide msg or subsequent Accept msgs to g until AcceptSync msg is sent to a
- If some sequence has been chosen before L received promise from q then L must send Decide msg to g after first Accept
 - This is indicated by $l_c \neq 0$: Length of longest • chosen (learned) sequence





Implementation

- On (Promise, n, n_a, suffx_a, ld_a) from a and n = n_L and state = (leader, accept)
 - lds[a] := *ld*_a
 - send (AcceptSync, n_L, suffix(v_a, lds[a]), lds[a]) to a
 - if $l_c \neq 0$:
 - send (Decide, l_{d} , n_{L}) to a

- On (AcceptSync, n_L, suffxv, *ld*) from L and state = (follower, prepare):
 - If $n_{prom} = n_L$:
 - n_a := n_L
 - v_a := prefix(v_a, *ld*) + suffxv
 - send $\langle \textbf{Accepted},\, n_L^{},\, |v_a^{}|\, \rangle$ to p
 - state = (follower, accept)





- When a leader L in the accept state gets a new command C ۲
 - Updates its accepted sequence and its las[L]
 - Sends Accept messages to all replicas that passed the **prepare** phase
- **On** (**Propose**, C) and state = (**leader**, accept) ٠
 - $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{a}} \oplus \langle \mathbf{C} \rangle$
 - las[self] := las[self] + 1 •
 - for p in π {self} s.t. lds[p] $\neq \perp$:
 - send (Accept, n_1 , $\langle C \rangle$) to p
- A replica that moved to the accept phase will accept the command if leader is in the ۲ current round as the promise, extends its accepted sequence and acknowledges to the leader
- **On** (Accept, n_1 , $\langle C \rangle$) from (a leader) L and state = (follower, accept) ٠
 - If $n_{prom} = n_L$:
 - $V_a := V_a \oplus \langle C \rangle$
 - send (Accepted, n_p , $|v_a|$) to L •

How to Decide



Implementation

- The leader maintains ۲
- las[0]: the leader's knowledge of the longest accepted sequence per replica •
- $l_{\rm c}$: the longest learned sequence so far •
- If m the length of the acknowledged sequence is greater than l_c , a majority of • replicas responded : a longer sequence is chosen (supported)
- A decision is sent to all replicas in the accept phase
- **On** (Accepted, n, m) from a, s.t. $n = n_1$ and state = (leader, accept) •
 - *l*as[a] := m
 - If $l_c < m$ and $|\{p \text{ in } \pi : las[a] \ge m\}| \ge [(N+1)/2]$
 - $l_{c} := m,$
 - for p in π s.t. lds[p] $\neq \perp$
 - send (Decide, l_c , n_L) to p ۲



- Currently every decided sequence is handed to the application in its entirety
- It makes more sense to change the API and decide one command at a time

- Initially *l*_d is 0 // zero-based indexing
- On (<mark>Decide</mark>, *l*, n_L):
 - **if** $n_{prom} = n_L$:
 - **while** *l*_d < *l*:
 - trigger $Decide(v_a[l_d])$
 - $l_{d} := l_{d} + 1$

Initially l_d is 0 On $\langle \text{Decide}, v, n \rangle$: • if $l_d < |v|$ and $n_{\text{prom}} = n$: • $l_d = |v|$ • trigger Decide(prefix(v_a, l_d))

The final algorithm

The final Sequence Paxos algorithm

• The algorithm use

- BallotLeaderElection
- FIFOPerfectPointToPointLinks
- The algorithm works in the asynchronous model
- but requires BLE which works in the partially synchronous model

Initial Replica for Sequence Paxos

- Leader specific
 - propCmds = <> Leader's current set of proposed commands (empty set)
 - las = [0]^N
 Length of longest accepted sequence per acceptor
 - Ids = $[\bot]^N$ Length of longest known decided sequence per acceptor
 - $l_c = 0$ Length of longest chosen (learned) sequence
 - acks = $[\bot]^N$ Promise acks per acceptor $p \mapsto (n, v)$
- Replica (including Acceptor and Learner)
 - (n_L, leader) = (0, \perp) Leader's current round number, leader process
 - state = ({follower, leader}, {prepare, accept, ⊥}) initially (follower, ⊥)
 - $n_{\text{prom}} = 0$ Promise not to accept in lower rounds
 - $n_a = 0$ Round number in which a value is accepted
 - $v_a = \langle \rangle$ Accepted value (empty sequence)
 - $l_d = 0$ Length of decided value (length of empty sequence)



```
Replicas
```

```
On \langleLeader, L, n\rangle:
   if n > n_1:
       leader := L, n_1 := n
       if self = L and n_1 > n_{prom}:
          state := (leader, prepare)
          propCmds = \langle \rangle; las := [0]<sup>N</sup>; lds := [\perp]<sup>N</sup>
          acks := [\bot]^N; l_c := 0,
           send (Prepare, n_1, l_d, n_a) to all \pi - \{ self \}
          acks[L] := (n_a, suffix(v_a, l_d))
          lds[self] := l_d; n_{prom} := n_l
       else:
           state = (follower, state[2])
```

```
On (Prepare, n_1, ld, n) from L:
      if n_{\text{prom}} < n_{\text{I}}:
         n_{\text{prom}} := n_{\text{I}}; state := (follower, prepare)
         suffx := if n_a \ge n : suffix(v_a, ld) else \langle \rangle
         send (Promise, n_1, n_2, suffx, l_1) to L
```

```
On (Promise, n, n<sub>a</sub>, suffx<sub>a</sub>, ld) from a
     s.t. n = n_1 and state = (leader, prepare):
     acks[a] := (n_a, suffx_a), Ids[a] := Id
     P := \{p \text{ in } \pi : acks[p] \neq \bot \}
     if |P| = [(N+1)/2]:
       (k, suffx) := max({acks[p]: p in P}) // adopt v
       v_a = prefix(v_a, l_d) + suffx + propCmds;
       las[self] := |v<sub>2</sub>|
       propCmds := \langle \rangle; state := (leader, accept)
       for p in \pi- {self} and lds[p] \neq \perp:
            sufx := suffix(v_a, Ids[p])
            send (AcceptSync, n, sufx, lds[p]) to p
```

```
On (Promise, n, n<sub>a</sub>, suffx<sub>a</sub>, ld) from a
    s.t. n = n_1 and state = (leader, accept):
     lds[a] := ld
     send (AcceptSync, n<sub>1</sub>, suffix(v<sub>a</sub>, lds[a]), lds[a]) to a
     if l_{a} \neq 0:
         send (Decide, l_d, n_l) to a
```



On (AcceptSync, n_1 , sufx, *ld*) from p **s.t.** state = (follower, prepare): If $n_{prom} = n_{L}$: $n_{a} := n_{I}$ $v_a := prefix(v_a, ld) + sufx$ send (Accepted, n_1 , $|v_2|$) to p state = (follower, accept) **On** (Accept, n_1 , $\langle C \rangle$, *ld*) from p **s.t.** state = (follower, accept): If $n_{prom} = n_1$: $v_2 := v_2 + \langle C \rangle$ send (Accepted, n_p , $|v_a|$) to p

On $\langle \text{Decide}, l, \mathbf{n}_{L} \rangle$: if $n_{\text{prom}} = n_{L}$: while $l_{d} < l$: trigger Decide $(v_{a}[l_{d}])$ $l_{d} := l_{d} + 1$ On ⟨Propose, C⟩ s.t. state = (leader, prepare): propCmds := propCmds + ⟨C⟩

```
On \langle \text{Propose}, C \rangle
s.t. state = (leader, accept):
\mathbf{v}_a = \mathbf{v}_a + \langle C \rangle
las[self] := las[self] + 1
for p in \pi- {self} s.t. lds[p] \neq \perp :
send \langle \text{Accept}, n_L, \langle C \rangle \rangle to p
```

```
On (Accepted, n, m) from a,

s.t. n = n<sub>L</sub> and state = (leader, accept) :

las[a] := m

If l<sub>c</sub> < m and |{p in π : las[a] ≥ m}| ≥ [(N+1)/2] :

l<sub>c</sub> := m,

for p in l s.t. lds[p] ≠ ⊥:

S. Haridi, KTHx ID2203.2send (Decide, l<sub>c</sub>, n<sub>L</sub>) to p
```

71

The final Sequence Paxos algorithm

- We developed a complete, simple and efficient Sequence Paxos algorithm in the fail-silent model (asynchronous model) that creates a consistent replicated log v_a
- The algorithm guarantees the safety properties of sequence consensus as long as the following assumptions hold
 - FIFO perfect links
 - An eventual leader election abstraction that guarantees for any indication (response) event (Leader, L, n) the combination (L,n) is unique (same requirement as single value Paxos)
The final Sequence Paxos algorithm

- Most of the time once a command C is delivered to the leader, one round trip is needed for deciding on C
- For liveness (progress) the leader election should satisfy
 - For any process p: if p is elected by (Leader, p, n), then for any for previous event and process q:
 - (Leader, q, n'): n' < n should hold</p>
 - A leader p should stay and be considered as a leader by a majority of processes "for a sufficient time" before overtaken by a higher numbered process
 - **No requirement** on strong accuracy on the leader election algorithm otherwise.