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- Incremental: size of the sorted part increases by one each time
- Can only swap adjacent elements
- Running time: $\Omega(N), O\left(N^{2}\right), \Theta\left(N^{2}\right)$ on average
- Can we do it faster?

Meet Quicksort! Author: Tony Hoare, 1959
Idea of the algorithm:

- Split the array into two parts $L$ and $R$, such that $L_{i} \leq R_{j}$ for all $i$ and $j$
- Sort the parts recursively $\rightarrow$ the entire array is sorted!
- The Divide-and-Conquer approach
- For best results, these parts should be approximately equal
procedure Quicksort $(A, \prec, s, e)$
$s^{\prime} \leftarrow s, e^{\prime} \leftarrow e, M \leftarrow A[(s+e) / 2]$
while $s^{\prime} \leq e^{\prime}$ do
while $A\left[s^{\prime}\right] \prec M$ do $s^{\prime} \leftarrow s^{\prime}+1$ end while while $M \prec A\left[e^{\prime}\right]$ do $e^{\prime} \leftarrow e^{\prime}-1$ end while if $s^{\prime} \leq e^{\prime}$ then
$A\left[s^{\prime}\right] \Leftrightarrow A\left[e^{\prime}\right]$
$s^{\prime} \leftarrow s^{\prime}+1, e^{\prime} \leftarrow e^{\prime}-1$
end if
end while
if $s \leq e^{\prime}$ then Quicksort $\left(A, \prec, s, e^{\prime}\right)$ end if
if $s^{\prime} \leq e$ then Quicksort $\left(A, \prec, s^{\prime}, e\right)$ end if end procedure
procedure Quicksort $(A, \prec, s, e)$
$s^{\prime} \leftarrow s, e^{\prime} \leftarrow e, M \leftarrow A[(s+e) / 2] \quad \triangleright M$ : the pivot value
while $s^{\prime} \leq e^{\prime}$ do
while $A\left[s^{\prime}\right] \prec M$ do $s^{\prime} \leftarrow s^{\prime}+1$ end while while $M \prec A\left[e^{\prime}\right]$ do $e^{\prime} \leftarrow e^{\prime}-1$ end while if $s^{\prime} \leq e^{\prime}$ then
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procedure Quicksort $(A, \prec, s, e)$
$s^{\prime} \leftarrow s, e^{\prime} \leftarrow e, M \leftarrow A[(s+e) / 2] \quad \triangleright M$ : the pivot value. Selection may vary while $s^{\prime} \leq e^{\prime}$ do
while $A\left[s^{\prime}\right] \prec M$ do $s^{\prime} \leftarrow s^{\prime}+1$ end while while $M \prec A\left[e^{\prime}\right]$ do $e^{\prime} \leftarrow e^{\prime}-1$ end while if $s^{\prime} \leq e^{\prime}$ then
$A\left[s^{\prime}\right] \Leftrightarrow A\left[e^{\prime}\right]$
$s^{\prime} \leftarrow s^{\prime}+1, e^{\prime} \leftarrow e^{\prime}-1$
end if
end while
if $s \leq e^{\prime}$ then Quicksort $\left(A, \prec, s, e^{\prime}\right)$ end if
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$s^{\prime} \leftarrow s, e^{\prime} \leftarrow e, M \leftarrow A[(s+e) / 2] \quad \triangleright M$ : the pivot value. Selection may vary while $s^{\prime} \leq e^{\prime}$ do
while $A\left[s^{\prime}\right] \prec M$ do $s^{\prime} \leftarrow s^{\prime}+1$ end while $\quad$ If $i \in\left[s ; s^{\prime}\right)$ then $A[i] \preceq M$ while $M \prec A\left[e^{\prime}\right]$ do $e^{\prime} \leftarrow e^{\prime}-1$ end while if $s^{\prime} \leq e^{\prime}$ then
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while $A\left[s^{\prime}\right] \prec M$ do $s^{\prime} \leftarrow s^{\prime}+1$ end while $\quad \triangleright$ If $i \in\left[s ; s^{\prime}\right)$ then $A[i] \preceq M$
while $M \prec A\left[e^{\prime}\right]$ do $e^{\prime} \leftarrow e^{\prime}-1$ end while $\quad$ If $i \in\left(e^{\prime} ; e\right]$ then $M \preceq A[i]$ if $s^{\prime} \leq e^{\prime}$ then

$$
A\left[s^{\prime}\right] \Leftrightarrow A\left[e^{\prime}\right]
$$
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$s^{\prime} \leftarrow s, e^{\prime} \leftarrow e, M \leftarrow A[(s+e) / 2] \quad \triangleright M$ : the pivot value. Selection may vary while $s^{\prime} \leq e^{\prime}$ do
while $A\left[s^{\prime}\right] \prec M$ do $s^{\prime} \leftarrow s^{\prime}+1$ end while $\quad \triangleright$ If $i \in\left[s ; s^{\prime}\right)$ then $A[i] \preceq M$ while $M \prec A\left[e^{\prime}\right]$ do $e^{\prime} \leftarrow e^{\prime}-1$ end while $\quad$ If $i \in\left(e^{\prime} ; e\right]$ then $M \preceq A[i]$ if $s^{\prime} \leq e^{\prime}$ then $\triangleright$ If the array is not yet split completely. . .

$$
A\left[s^{\prime}\right] \Leftrightarrow A\left[e^{\prime}\right]
$$

$$
s^{\prime} \leftarrow s^{\prime}+1, e^{\prime} \leftarrow e^{\prime}-1
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end if
end while
if $s \leq e^{\prime}$ then Quicksort $\left(A, \prec, s, e^{\prime}\right)$ end if
if $s^{\prime} \leq e$ then Quicksort $\left(A, \prec, s^{\prime}, e\right)$ end if
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procedure Quicksort $(A, \prec, s, e)$
$s^{\prime} \leftarrow s, e^{\prime} \leftarrow e, M \leftarrow A[(s+e) / 2] \quad \triangleright M$ : the pivot value. Selection may vary while $s^{\prime} \leq e^{\prime}$ do
while $A\left[s^{\prime}\right] \prec M$ do $s^{\prime} \leftarrow s^{\prime}+1$ end while $\quad \triangleright$ If $i \in\left[s ; s^{\prime}\right)$ then $A[i] \preceq M$ while $M \prec A\left[e^{\prime}\right]$ do $e^{\prime} \leftarrow e^{\prime}-1$ end while $\quad$ If $i \in\left(e^{\prime} ; e\right]$ then $M \preceq A[i]$ if $s^{\prime} \leq e^{\prime}$ then $\triangleright$ If the array is not yet split completely. . .

$$
A\left[s^{\prime}\right] \Leftrightarrow A\left[e^{\prime}\right] \quad \triangleright \text { swap the elements and continue splitting }
$$

$$
s^{\prime} \leftarrow s^{\prime}+1, e^{\prime} \leftarrow e^{\prime}-1
$$

## end if

end while
if $s \leq e^{\prime}$ then Quicksort $\left(A, \prec, s, e^{\prime}\right)$ end if
if $s^{\prime} \leq e$ then Quicksort $\left(A, \prec, s^{\prime}, e\right)$ end if end procedure
$\triangleright$ If $i \in\left(e^{\prime} ; s^{\prime}\right), A[i]=M$
$\triangleright$ and is in the right place




















































































































































- Lemma: quicksort splits a non-single-element subarray $[s ; e]$ into three possibly empty parts $\left[s ; e^{\prime}\right],\left(e^{\prime} ; s^{\prime}\right),\left[s^{\prime} ; e\right]$, such that, for some $M$ :
- both $s^{\prime} \neq s$ or $e^{\prime} \neq e$
- $A[i] \preceq M$ if $i \in\left[s ; e^{\prime}\right]$
- $A[i]=M$ if $i \in\left(e^{\prime} ; s^{\prime}\right)$
- $M \preceq A[i]$ if $i \in\left[s^{\prime} ; e\right]$
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- $A[i]=M$ if $i \in\left(e^{\prime} ; s^{\prime}\right)$
- $M \preceq A[i]$ if $i \in\left[s^{\prime} ; e\right]$
- Proof (1/2):
- Recall invariants:
- $\left[s ; s^{\prime}\right)$ contains elements $\preceq M$
- $\left(e^{\prime} ; e\right]$ contains elements $\succeq M$

```
procedure Quicksort \((A, \prec, s, e)\)
    \(s^{\prime} \leftarrow s, e^{\prime} \leftarrow e, M \leftarrow A[(s+e) / 2]\)
    while \(s^{\prime} \leq e^{\prime}\) do
        while \(A\left[s^{\prime}\right] \prec M\) do \(s^{\prime} \leftarrow s^{\prime}+1\) end while
        while \(M \prec A\left[e^{\prime}\right]\) do \(e^{\prime} \leftarrow e^{\prime}-1\) end while
        if \(s^{\prime} \leq e^{\prime}\) then
                        \(A\left[s^{\prime}\right] \Leftrightarrow A\left[e^{\prime}\right]\)
                        \(s^{\prime} \leftarrow s^{\prime}+1, e^{\prime} \leftarrow e^{\prime}-1\)
        end if
    end while
    if \(s \leq e^{\prime}\) then Quicksort \(\left(A, \prec, s, e^{\prime}\right)\) end if
    if \(s^{\prime} \leq e\) then Quicksort \(\left(A, \prec, s^{\prime}, e\right)\) end if
end procedure
```

- Lemma: quicksort splits a non-single-element subarray $[s ; e]$ into three possibly empty parts $\left[s ; e^{\prime}\right],\left(e^{\prime} ; s^{\prime}\right),\left[s^{\prime} ; e\right]$, such that, for some $M$ :
- both $s^{\prime} \neq s$ or $e^{\prime} \neq e$
- $A[i] \preceq M$ if $i \in\left[s ; e^{\prime}\right]$
- $A[i]=M$ if $i \in\left(e^{\prime} ; s^{\prime}\right)$
- $M \preceq A[i]$ if $i \in\left[s^{\prime} ; e\right]$
- Proof (1/2):
- Recall invariants:
- $\left[s ; s^{\prime}\right)$ contains elements $\preceq M$
- $\left(e^{\prime} ; e\right]$ contains elements $\succeq M$
- At the end of the outer while $s^{\prime}>e^{\prime}$, so every element is either:
- in $\left[s ; e^{\prime}\right] \rightarrow \preceq M$
- in $\left[s^{\prime} ; e\right] \rightarrow \succeq M$
- in $\left(e^{\prime} ; s^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \preceq M$ and $\succeq M$

```
procedure Quicksort(A,\prec, s,e)
    s'\leftarrows, e'\leftarrowe,M\leftarrowA[(s+e)/2]
    while }\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}\leq\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}\mathrm{ do
        while }A[\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}]\precM\mathrm{ do }\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}+1\mathrm{ end while
        while M\precA[\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}] do e't}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}-1\mathrm{ end while
        if s
            A[s']\LeftrightarrowA[\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}]
            s
        end if
    end while
    if s\leqe' then Quicksort(A,\prec, s, e') end if
    if s' 
end procedure
```
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```
procedure Quicksort(A,\prec, s,e)
    s'\leftarrows, e'\leftarrowe,M\leftarrowA[(s+e)/2]
    while }\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}\leq\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}\mathrm{ do
        while }A[\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}]\precM\mathrm{ do }\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}+1\mathrm{ end while
        while M\precA[\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}] do e't}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}-1\mathrm{ end while
        if s
            A[s']\LeftrightarrowA[\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}]
            s
        end if
    end while
    if s\leqe' then Quicksort(A,\prec, s, e') end if
    if s' 
end procedure
```

- Lemma: quicksort splits a non-single-element subarray $[s ; e]$ into three possibly empty parts $\left[s ; e^{\prime}\right],\left(e^{\prime} ; s^{\prime}\right),\left[s^{\prime} ; e\right]$, such that, for some $M$ :
- both $s^{\prime} \neq s$ or $e^{\prime} \neq e$
- $A[i] \preceq M$ if $i \in\left[s ; e^{\prime}\right]$ proven
- $A[i]=M$ if $i \in\left(e^{\prime} ; s^{\prime}\right)$ proven
- $M \preceq A[i]$ if $i \in\left[s^{\prime} ; e\right]$ proven
- Proof (2/2): Assume $s^{\prime}=s$. Then $e^{\prime}<s$. How can that be?

```
procedure Quicksort( }A,\prec,s,e
    s'}\leftarrows,\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}\leftarrowe,M\leftarrowA[(s+e)/2
    while s'
        while }A[\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}]\precM\mathrm{ do }\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}+1\mathrm{ end while
        while }M\precA[\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}]\mathrm{ do }\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}-1 end whil
        if s}\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}\leq\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}\mathrm{ then
            A[\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}]\LeftrightarrowA[\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}]
            s'}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}+1,\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}-
        end if
    end while
    if s\leqe e}\mathrm{ ' then Quicksort( }A,\prec,s,\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime})\mathrm{ end if
    if s}\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}\leqe\mathrm{ then Quicksort( }A,\prec,\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime},e)\mathrm{ end if
end procedure
```

- Lemma: quicksort splits a non-single-element subarray $[s ; e]$ into three possibly empty parts $\left[s ; e^{\prime}\right],\left(e^{\prime} ; s^{\prime}\right),\left[s^{\prime} ; e\right]$, such that, for some $M$ :
- both $s^{\prime} \neq s$ or $e^{\prime} \neq e$
- $A[i] \preceq M$ if $i \in\left[s ; e^{\prime}\right]$ proven
- $A[i]=M$ if $i \in\left(e^{\prime} ; s^{\prime}\right)$ proven
- $M \preceq A[i]$ if $i \in\left[s^{\prime} ; e\right]$ proven
- Proof (2/2): Assume $s^{\prime}=s$. Then $e^{\prime}<s$. How can that be?
- $A\left[s^{\prime}\right] \prec M$ loop body never executed

```
procedure Quicksort(A, \prec, s, e)
    s'\leftarrows, e'\leftarrowe,M\leftarrowA[(s+e)/2]
    while s}\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}\leq\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}\mathrm{ do
        while }A[\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}]\precM\mathrm{ do }\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}+1\mathrm{ end while
        while M\precA[\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}] do }\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}-1\mathrm{ end while
        if s
                        A[s']\LeftrightarrowA[\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}]
                        s'}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}+1,\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}-
        end if
    end while
    if s\leqe' then Quicksort(A,\prec, s, e') end if
    if s
end procedure
```

- Lemma: quicksort splits a non-single-element subarray $[s ; e]$ into three possibly empty parts $\left[s ; e^{\prime}\right],\left(e^{\prime} ; s^{\prime}\right),\left[s^{\prime} ; e\right]$, such that, for some $M$ :
- both $s^{\prime} \neq s$ or $e^{\prime} \neq e$
- $A[i] \preceq M$ if $i \in\left[s ; e^{\prime}\right]$ proven
- $A[i]=M$ if $i \in\left(e^{\prime} ; s^{\prime}\right)$ proven
- $M \preceq A[i]$ if $i \in\left[s^{\prime} ; e\right]$ proven
- Proof (2/2): Assume $s^{\prime}=s$. Then $e^{\prime}<s$. How can that be?
- $A\left[s^{\prime}\right] \prec M$ loop body never executed
- Inner $s^{\prime} \leq e^{\prime}$ never happened

```
procedure Quicksort( }A,\prec,s,e
    s'}\leftarrows,\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}\leftarrowe,M\leftarrowA[(s+e)/2
    while }\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}\leq\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}\mathrm{ do
        while }A[\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}]\precM\mathrm{ do }\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}+1\mathrm{ end while
        while }M\precA[\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}]\mathrm{ do }\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}-1\mathrm{ end while
        if s
                        A[s']\LeftrightarrowA[\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}]
                        s'}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}+1,\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}-
        end if
    end while
    if s\leqe e}\mathrm{ then Quicksort( }A,\prec,s,\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime})\mathrm{ end if
    if s}\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}\leqe\mathrm{ then Quicksort( }A,\prec,\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime},e)\mathrm{ end if
end procedure
```

- Lemma: quicksort splits a non-single-element subarray $[s ; e]$ into three possibly empty parts $\left[s ; e^{\prime}\right],\left(e^{\prime} ; s^{\prime}\right),\left[s^{\prime} ; e\right]$, such that, for some $M$ :
- both $s^{\prime} \neq s$ or $e^{\prime} \neq e$
- $A[i] \preceq M$ if $i \in\left[s ; e^{\prime}\right]$ proven
- $A[i]=M$ if $i \in\left(e^{\prime} ; s^{\prime}\right)$ proven
- $M \preceq A[i]$ if $i \in\left[s^{\prime} ; e\right]$ proven
- Proof (2/2): Assume $s^{\prime}=s$.

Then $e^{\prime}<s$. How can that be?

- $A\left[s^{\prime}\right] \prec M$ loop body never executed
- Inner $s^{\prime} \leq e^{\prime}$ never happened
- Thus, $M \prec A\left[e^{\prime}\right]$ loop condition is always true

```
procedure Quicksort(A, \prec, s, e)
    s'\leftarrows, e'tee,M\leftarrowA[(s+e)/2]
    while s}\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}\leq\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}\mathrm{ do
        while }A[\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}]\precM\mathrm{ do }\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}+1\mathrm{ end while
        while M\precA[\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}] do }\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}-1\mathrm{ end while
        if s
            A[s']\LeftrightarrowA[\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}]
            s'}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}+1,\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}-
        end if
    end while
    if s\leqe't then Quicksort(A,\prec, s, e') end if
    if s}\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}\leqe\mathrm{ then Quichsort( }A,\prec,\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime},e)\mathrm{ end if
end procedure
```

- Lemma: quicksort splits a non-single-element subarray $[s ; e]$ into three possibly empty parts $\left[s ; e^{\prime}\right],\left(e^{\prime} ; s^{\prime}\right),\left[s^{\prime} ; e\right]$, such that, for some $M$ :
- both $s^{\prime} \neq s$ or $e^{\prime} \neq e$
- $A[i] \preceq M$ if $i \in\left[s ; e^{\prime}\right]$ proven
- $A[i]=M$ if $i \in\left(e^{\prime} ; s^{\prime}\right)$ proven
- $M \preceq A[i]$ if $i \in\left[s^{\prime} ; e\right]$ proven
- Proof (2/2): Assume $s^{\prime}=s$.

Then $e^{\prime}<s$. How can that be?

- $A\left[s^{\prime}\right] \prec M$ loop body never executed
- Inner $s^{\prime} \leq e^{\prime}$ never happened
- Thus, $M \prec A\left[e^{\prime}\right]$ loop condition is always true
- But it cannot happen, as $M$ is taken from the array

```
procedure Quicksort(A, \prec, s,e)
    s'\leftarrows, e'tee,M\leftarrowA[(s+e)/2]
    while s}\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}\leq\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}\mathrm{ do
        while }A[\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}]\precM\mathrm{ do }\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}+1\mathrm{ end while
        while M\precA[\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}] do }\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}-1\mathrm{ end while
        if s
            A[s']\LeftrightarrowA[\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}]
            s'}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}+1,\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}-
        end if
    end while
    if s\leqe't then Quicksort(A,\prec, s, e') end if
    if s' 
end procedure
```

- Lemma: quicksort splits a non-single-element subarray $[s ; e]$ into three possibly empty parts $\left[s ; e^{\prime}\right],\left(e^{\prime} ; s^{\prime}\right),\left[s^{\prime} ; e\right]$, such that, for some $M$ :
- both $s^{\prime} \neq s$ or $e^{\prime} \neq e$
- $A[i] \preceq M$ if $i \in\left[s ; e^{\prime}\right]$ proven
- $A[i]=M$ if $i \in\left(e^{\prime} ; s^{\prime}\right)$ proven
- $M \preceq A[i]$ if $i \in\left[s^{\prime} ; e\right]$ proven
- Proof (2/2): Assume $s^{\prime}=s$.

Then $e^{\prime}<s$. How can that be?

- $A\left[s^{\prime}\right] \prec M$ loop body never executed
- Inner $s^{\prime} \leq e^{\prime}$ never happened
- Thus, $M \prec A\left[e^{\prime}\right]$ loop condition is always true
- But it cannot happen, as $M$ is taken from the array
- So, $s^{\prime} \neq s . e^{\prime} \neq e$ by symmetry.

```
procedure Quicksort(A,\prec,s,e)
    s'\leftarrows, e'tee,M\leftarrowA[(s+e)/2]
    while s' }\leq\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}\mathrm{ do
        while }A[\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}]\precM\mathrm{ do }\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}+1\mathrm{ end while
        while M\precA[\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}] do }\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}-1\mathrm{ end while
        if s
            A[s']\LeftrightarrowA[\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}]
            s'}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}+1,\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}\leftarrow\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}-
        end if
    end while
    if s\leqe' then Quicksort(A,\prec, s, e') end if
    if s' 
end procedure
```
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- both $s^{\prime} \neq s$ or $e^{\prime} \neq e$ proven
- $A[i] \preceq M$ if $i \in\left[s ; e^{\prime}\right]$ proven
- $A[i]=M$ if $i \in\left(e^{\prime} ; s^{\prime}\right)$ proven
- $M \preceq A[i]$ if $i \in\left[s^{\prime} ; e\right]$ proven
- Proof (2/2): Assume $s^{\prime}=s$.

Then $e^{\prime}<s$. How can that be?

- $A\left[s^{\prime}\right] \prec M$ loop body never executed
- Inner $s^{\prime} \leq e^{\prime}$ never happened
- Thus, $M \prec A\left[e^{\prime}\right]$ loop condition is always true
- But it cannot happen, as $M$ is taken from the array
- So, $s^{\prime} \neq s . e^{\prime} \neq e$ by symmetry.

```
procedure Quicksort(A, \prec, s, e)
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- Top level: quicksort is correct if it:
- Does nothing on a single-element subarray
- Splits a non-single-element subarray $[s ; e]$ into three possibly empty parts $\left[s ; e^{\prime}\right],\left(e^{\prime} ; s^{\prime}\right),\left[s^{\prime} ; e\right]$, such that, for some $M$ :
- both $s^{\prime} \neq s$ or $e^{\prime} \neq e$
- $A[i] \preceq M$ if $i \in\left[s ; e^{\prime}\right]$
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- $M \preceq A[i]$ if $i \in\left[s^{\prime} ; e\right]$
- Calls itself recursively on $\left[s ; e^{\prime}\right]$ and $\left[s^{\prime} ; e\right]$
- Proof:
- Quicksort terminates, because recursive calls work with strictly smaller array parts
- Any single-element subarray is sorted by definition
- After recursive calls are done, the subarrays $\left[s ; e^{\prime}\right]$ and $\left[s^{\prime} ; e\right]$ are sorted, and the subarray ( $e^{\prime} ; s^{\prime}$ ) consists of equal elements, thus also sorted
- Left part $\preceq$ middle part $\preceq$ right part $\rightarrow$ result is sorted
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- Each position is visited at least once, at most twice

Worst running time: all splits are $1: K-1$

- Look at the call tree to the right

| 9 | 3 | 13 | 5 | 11 | 7 | 15 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 1 | 3 | 13 | 5 | 11 | 7 | 15 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | 14


$\begin{array}{r}5 \\ 4 \\ \hline\end{array}$

Running time inside each stack frame: $\Theta(e-s+1)$

- Each position is visited at least once, at most twice

Worst running time: all splits are $1: K-1$

- Look at the call tree to the right
- Running time:

$$
\Theta\left(\sum_{i=2}^{N} i+N-1\right)=\Theta\left(N^{2}\right)
$$




| 2 | 13 | 5 | 11 | 7 | 15 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 3 | 5 | 11 | 7 | 15 | 9 | 13 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 | 11 | 7 | 15 | 9 | 13 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |


| 5 | 7 | 15 | 9 | 13 | 11 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 15 | 9 | 13 | 11 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |  |


| 7 | 9 | 13 | 11 | 15 | 8 | 10 | 12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | 14


| 8 | 13 | 11 | 15 | 9 | 10 | 12 | 14 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 9 | 11 | 15 | 13 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |


| 10 | 15 | 13 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 17 | 1 |  |  |  |  |


| 11 | 13 | 15 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 15 | 13 | 14 | 16 |  |
|  | 13 | 15 | 1 |  |  |


| 13 | 15 | 14 | 16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14 | 15 | 16 |  |
|  | 16 | 15 | 16 |
|  |  | 15 | 16 |
|  |  |  |  |

Running time inside each stack frame: $\Theta(e-s+1)$

- Each position is visited at least once, at most twice

Worst running time: all splits are $1: K-1$

- Look at the call tree to the right
- Running time:

$$
\Theta\left(\sum_{i=2}^{N} i+N-1\right)=\Theta\left(N^{2}\right)
$$

- This is called "quicksort degradation"

| 9 | 3 | 13 | 5 | 11 | 7 | 15 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



| 3 | 5 11 7 15 9 13 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 | 4 11 7 15 9 13 5 6 8 10 12 14 16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 5 | 7 | 15 | 9 | 13 | 11 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 16 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 15 | 9 | 13 | 11 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |







| 12 | 15 | 13 | 14 | 16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13 | 15 | 14 | 16 |  |


| 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15 | 16 |  |

Running time inside each stack frame: $\Theta(e-s+1)$

- Each position is visited at least once, at most twice

Worst running time: all splits are $1: K-1$

- Look at the call tree to the right
- Running time:

$$
\Theta\left(\sum_{i=2}^{N} i+N-1\right)=\Theta\left(N^{2}\right)
$$

- This is called "quicksort degradation"
- But why is it "quick"?

| 9 | 3 | 13 | 5 | 11 | 7 | 15 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 1 | 3 | 13 | 5 | 11 | 7 | 15 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 2 | 13 | 5 | 11 | 7 | 15 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3 | 5 | 11 | 7 | 15 | 9 | 13 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |


| 3 | 5 | 11 | 7 | 15 | 9 | 13 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 | 11 | 7 | 15 | 9 | 13 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |


| 5 | 7 | 15 | 9 | 13 | 11 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 15 | 9 | 13 | 11 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |  |


| 7 | 9 | 13 | 11 | 15 | 8 | 10 | 12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 8 | 13 | 11 | 15 | 9 | 10 | 12 | 14 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | 16


| 9 | 11 | 15 | 13 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 10 | 15 | 13 | 11 | 1 |  |  |


| 10 | 15 | 13 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 11 | 13 |  |  | 15 | 1 |


| 11 | 13 | 15 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 12 | 15 | 13 | 14 | 16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 13 | 15 | 14 | 16 |


| 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 15 | 16 |
|  |  |  |
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- With probability 0.5 the logarithm of the size decreases by at least $\log 4 / 3$
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- Consider sorting permutations: same as sorting arrays with all elements distinct
- Among all permutations, with probability 0.5 the rank of the pivot value will be within $[N / 4 ; 3 N / 4]$
- This means that the maximum of subarray sizes is at most $3 \mathrm{~N} / 4$
- This means that the logarithm of the size decreases by at least $\log 4 / 3$
- After splitting, the subarrays are again equivalent to random permutations

What follows?

- With probability 0.5 the logarithm of the size decreases by at least $\log 4 / 3$
- Expected logarithm decrease: at least $0.5 \log 4 / 3$
- Expected depth: at most $\log N /(0.5 \log 4 / 3)=O(\log N)$
- Total work at each depth: $O(N) \rightarrow$ average runtime is $O(N \log N)$

