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All other operations can be performed in linear time.
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## Example

- vector of 3 elements

- vector of 5 elements

| 3 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 8 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Almost always inserting an element is very simple.

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}\hline 3 & 6 & 2\end{array}\right] \longrightarrow$| 3 | 6 | 2 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Almost always inserting an element is very simple.


Special case: vector size is equal to array size.

Almost always inserting an element is very simple.

| 3 | 6 | 2 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\longrightarrow$| 3 | 6 | 2 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Special case: vector size is equal to array size.
Let's allocate array of doubled size, copy all elements and insert new element.
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- removing an element always takes $O(1)$ operations
- just decreasing current size of vector
- inserting an element almost always takes $O(1)$ operations
- just increasing size of vector and assigning value to corresponding element of array
- except cases when size of vector is equal to size of array
- weill call such operations long insert operations
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Let's say we performed $k$ insert operations.

- we performed some long insert operations
- for sizes of vector $1,2, \ldots, 2^{\left\lfloor\log _{2} k\right\rfloor}$
- each long insert takes $O(n)$ operations, where $n$ is current size of vector
- total time of these insert operations is
$O\left(1+2+\ldots+2^{\left\lfloor\log _{2} k\right\rfloor}\right)=O\left(2 \times 2^{\left\lfloor\log _{2} k\right\rfloor}\right)=O(k)$
- that means that amortized complexity of every insert operation is $O(1)$
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We have a lot of unused allocated memory in case we did a lot of removes.

- let's decrease size of array if we have less then $\frac{1}{3}$ of elements in use
- it can be proved that even in this case amortized complexity of all operations is $O(1)$

