

Outline

- Non-linear classification and regression
- Feature maps, their inner products
- Kernel functions induced from feature maps
- Kernel methods, kernel perceptron
- Other non-linear classifiers (e.g., Random Forest)

Linear classifiers on the real line



In feature space



Back to the real line





2-dim example



Polynomial features

We can add more polynomial terms

Means lots of features in higher dimensions



Non-linear classification

$$h(x;\theta,\theta_0) = \operatorname{sign}(\theta \cdot \phi(x) + \theta_0)$$

Non-linear regression

$$f(x;\theta,\theta_0) = \theta \cdot \phi(x) + \theta_0$$

e.g.,
$$\phi(x) = [x, x^2]^T$$

Non-linear regression





Why not feature vectors?

- By mapping input examples explicitly into feature vectors, and performing linear classification or regression on top of such feature vectors, we get a lot of expressive power
- But the downside is that these vectors can be quite high dimensional



Inner products, kernels

 Computing the inner product between two feature vectors can be cheap even if the vectors are very high dimensional

$$\phi(x) = [x_1, x_2, x_1^2, \sqrt{2}x_1x_2, x_2^2]^T$$

$$\phi(x') = [x'_1, x'_2, {x'}_1^2, \sqrt{2}x'_1x'_2, {x'}_2^2]^T$$



Kernels vs features

 For some feature maps, we can evaluate the inner products very efficiently, e.g.,

 In those cases, it is advantageous to express the linear classifiers (regression methods) in terms of kernels rather than explicitly constructing feature vectors



Recall perceptron

 $\theta = 0$

run through i = 1, ..., nif $y^{(i)} \theta \cdot \phi(x^{(i)}) \le 0$ $\theta \leftarrow \theta + y^{(i)} \phi(x^{(i)})$



Recall perceptron

 $\theta = 0$

run through i = 1, ..., nif $y^{(i)} \theta \cdot \phi(x^{(i)}) \le 0$ $\theta \leftarrow \theta + y^{(i)} \phi(x^{(i)})$



- Composition rules:
 - 1. K(x, x') = 1 is a kernel function.
 - 2. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ and K(x, x') is a kernel. Then so is $\tilde{K}(x, x') = f(x)K(x, x')f(x')$
 - 3. If $K_1(x, x')$ and $K_2(x, x')$ are kernels, then $K(x, x') = K_1(x, x') + K_2(x, x')$ is a kernel
 - 4. If $K_1(x, x')$ and $K_2(x, x')$ are kernels, then $K(x, x') = K_1(x, x')K_2(x, x')$ is a kernel



$$K(x, x') = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}||x - x'||^2)$$





$$K(x, x') = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}||x - x'||^2)$$





$$K(x, x') = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}||x - x'||^2)$$





$$K(x, x') = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}||x - x'||^2)$$





$$K(x, x') = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}||x - x'||^2)$$





$$K(x, x') = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}||x - x'||^2)$$





 Random forest is a good default classifier for (almost) any setting



- Procedure:
 - boostrap sample
 - build a (randomized) decision tree
 - average predictions (ensemble)



Summary

- We can get non-linear classifiers or regression methods by simply mapping examples into feature vectors nonlinearly, and applying a linear method on the resulting vectors
- These feature vectors can be high dimensional, however
- We can turn the linear methods into kernel methods by casting the computations in terms of inner products
- A kernel function is simply an inner product between two feature vectors
- Using kernels is advantageous when the inner products are faster to evaluate than using explicit vectors (e.g., when the vectors would be infinite dimensional!)